## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom the ITERNATIONAL SEARCHING A	AUTHORITY		DOT		
Fo: see form PCT/ISA/	220	REC'D 05 N WIPO INTERN	PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE NATIONAL SEARCHING AU  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	E THORITY	
	, Kg	Date of mai	iling	et)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FUI See paragr	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
nternational application No. Internation PCT/EP2005/001077 03.02.2		ng date <i>(day/month/yea</i>	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2004		
International Patent Classification (INV. C07D401/04 A61K31/50	PC) or both national clas 05 A61P35/02	sification and IPC			
Applicant NOVARTIS AG					
This opinion contains in		the following item	าร:	·	
<ul><li>☑ Box No. II Priority</li><li>☐ Box No. III Non-es</li><li>☐ Box No. IV Lack of</li></ul>	tablishment of opinion funity of invention	ulo <i>43hi</i> s 1(a)(i) with	Ity, inventive step and industrial application in the step of the step or income		
applica ⊠ Box No. VI Certain	bility; citations and exp a documents cited	Manations supporting	g such statement		
⊠ Box No. VII Certair             ⊠ Box No. VIII Certair             □             □	n defects in the internation observations on the in	nternational application	ion		
written opinion of the Inte the applicant chooses ar International Bureau und will not be so considered	ernational Preliminaly n Authority other than t der Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) tha d.	his one to be the IPI t written opinions of	opinion will usually be considered to be ("IPEA") except that this does not app EA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the this International Searching Authority	ie	
If this opinion is, as prov submit to the IPEA a wri from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	of Form PCT/ISA/220	d to be a written opic ere appropriate, with or before the expirat	nion of the IPEA, the applicant is invite h amendments, before the expiration of ion of 22 months from the priority date	d to f 3 months	
For further options, see		<i>1</i> 220.			
3. For further details, see r	nates to Form PC1/15A	uee∪.			
Name and mailing address of the	ISA:	Date of completion of this opinion	of Authorized Officer	gartisches Palen	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

see form PCT/ISA/210

Samsam Bakhtiary, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8556



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001077

Во	ox No	. 1 Basis of the opinion
1. W	ith req	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
Ø	the	international application in the language in which it was filed
	atı	ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the
2. W		gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and array to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
а	. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
b	o. form	nat of material:
		on paper
		in electronic form
C	c. time	e of filing/lurnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in electronic form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	ional comments:
_	Вох	No. II Priority
1.	•	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	. Add	itional observations, if necessary:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001077

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7

1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

,

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

## Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

## Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: WO 03/066613 A (NOVARTIS AG; NOVARTIS PHARMA GMBH; LOISELEUR, OLIVIER; KAUFMANN, DANIE) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14)
  - D2: EP-A-0 564 409 (CIBA-GEIGY AG; NOVARTIS AG; NOVARTIS-ERFINDUNGEN VERWALTUNGSGESELLSCHA) 6 October 1993 (1993-10-
  - D3: WO 99/03854 A (NOVARTIS AG; NOVARTIS-ERFINDUNGEN VERWALTUNGSGESELLSCHAFT MBH; ZIMMERM) 28 January 1999 (1999-01-28) cited in the application
  - D4: US-A-5 521 184 (ZIMMERMANN ET AL) 28 May 1996 (1996-05-28) cited in the application
  - D5: WO 2004/074502 A (CIPLA LTD; KANKAN, RAJENDRA, NARAYANRAO; RAO, DHARMARAJ, RAMACHANDRA;) 2 September 2004 (2004-09-02)
  - D6: WO 03/077892 A (NOVARTIS AG; THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE; GILBERT, RICHARD, ERNEST; KE) 25 September 2003 (2003-09-25)
  - D7: WO 02/22597 A (NOVARTIS AG; NOVARTIS-ERFINDUNGEN VERWALTUNGSGESELLSCHAFT M.B.H; BUERG) 21 March 2002 (2002-03-21)

#### 2. Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses imatinib compounds in a salt form (see page 14, second paragraph). A list of specific preferred salts are disclosed on page 2, last paragraph.

The skilled person, by combining these 2 technical teachings, would in a clear and unambiguous manner, obtain the claimed salts of this application.

The same goes for document D2 (see example 19 and the list of salts on page 3, lines 31-44).

#### 3. Inventive step

In case the applicant amends the claims in order to restore novelty, then these novel salts would be considered as a invention selection from a broader family of salts as disclosed in D1 and D2.

Such a selection can only be regarded as inventive, if the claimed salts presents unexpected effects or properties in relation to the rest of the family.

However, no such effects or properties are indicated in the application. Hence, no inventive step could be acknowledged for any novel specific salts.

#### Re Item VI

#### Certain documents cited

Document D5 is cited as P category in the search report, in the european phase this document would become relevant regarding novelty of the claimed subject matter when the salt is HCI.

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1, D2 and D5 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

#### Re Item VIII

#### Certain observations on the international application

In case this application is proceeded in a european phase, then we draw the attention of the applicant that according Rule 29 EPC, independent claims 2,3,4,5 should be linked to claim 1. WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001077